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Abstract
Background: The present study examines the relationship between resilience, mood, fear for COVID-19 and cognitive functioning during pandemic Covid-19.

Methods: A cross-sectional web-based study was conducted from December 2020 to January 2021 among the administrative staff of the University of Western Macedonia, Greece. Data was collected using the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC), Depression, Anxiety, and Stress (DASS 21), fear of Covid-19 scales (FCV-19S), and Cognitive functioning self-assessment scale (CFSS). Univariate, bivariate, and multivariate analysis was performed using an independent Sample T-Test, Chi-Square Test, One-way ANOVA, Mann-Whitney U Test, Kruskal-Wallis H Test, Spearman's Rank-Order Correlations, Pearson product-moment correlations, and Simple Linear Regression. SPSS version 22 was used for data analysis and the statistical significance was considered at less than 0.05.

Results: Results: Data of 88 university's administrative staff has undergone final analysis. Most of the respondents were females (78.4%), married (61.3%), middle-aged group (64.8%), held a post-graduate degree (56.8%) and 94.3% stated that they had not been sick with Covid-19. Our findings showed that the middle-aged group has statistically significantly higher fear on Covid-19 (P = 0.046), and more care of personal hygiene, stress (P = 0.040), than the young age group respectively. Women had a statistically significantly higher restriction to physical contact compared to men (P = 0.042), however, men had statistically significantly more trusted the results of clinical trials of Covid-19 vaccines than women (P = 0.039), respectively. There was statistically significant and negative correlation between Resilience (CD) and cognitive functioning (r = -0.412, n = 87, P < 0.001). Furthermore, the result of a simple linear regression showed that an increase of one in CD corresponded to a 0.287 decrease in cognitive functioning.

Conclusion: It is vital to continue monitoring the psychological and cognitive effects of the Covid-19 pandemic.
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Background
Resilience has been recognized in recent decades as an important variable that explains the ability to grow normally in the face of adverse conditions and to cope with all kinds of adversity [1-4]. It also explains how to deal effectively with threatening situations and/or recover from a traumatic event. Initially, the concept of resilience could explain why -although they grew up in disadvantaged environments- many children developed very positive traits [5-8]. The research then turned to the study of protective factors [9,10] and interventions that are guided by the concept of resilience and promote adequacy and health. In recent years, genetic, epigenetic, developmental, psychosocial interactions have been studied [3]. In the context of the varied impact of difficult experiences on our lives, the possible positive effects (“Stress is enhancing mindset”) are also studied. Various research, e.g., examines stress’s association with positive emotions and physical alertness [11]. In the covid-19 pandemic, resilience was one of the first concepts to arouse the interest of researchers, as most people worldwide were called upon to cope with unprecedented conditions that threatened their physical and mental health. Its protective role was once again confirmed. High resilience was associated with lower rates of anxiety, stress, depression, and fear [12]. At the same time, it was emphasized that increasing resilience requires improving other parameters such as increasing the level of positive emotions, sleep quality, and job satisfaction, especially in populations that are more burdened during this period, such as the university's administrative staff.
as health workers [13]. It is also essential that the planned interventions are multifaceted, targeting many related populations, e.g., adolescents-adults [2] or employers-employees. As part of the extensive Covid-19 research activity that has affected all countries worldwide, the fear of the Covid-19 variable was extensively assessed. This variable is particularly important as citizens around the world are faced with an unprecedented and uncertain situation. Fear can have many dimensions, such as the fear of being infected by ourselves and our people, fear of our work or the future. As expected, the fear of Covid-19 has often been studied in conjunction with other mood variables such as anxiety, depression, or positive emotions. Fear was positively associated with psychological distress, while the latter is predicted by other conditions such as pre-existing mental disorder, depression, smoking, and alcohol use [14-17]. Health-related stress and the widespread use of technology and social media predict high levels of fear [18]. Fear and anxiety can affect both oneself and family members and are exacerbated by additional concerns about work, problems with one's spouse and children due to quarantine, and forced teleworking from home. [19]. The importance of psychosocial and economic factors was also highlighted, as were women and those who had reduced access to health services due to financial hardship that was more psychologically burdened [15,20]. Health sector employees, especially those dissatisfied with their job, did not receive any information on Covid-19. Moreover, rates of fear were higher among part-time employees. It is worth mentioning that women had higher rates of negative emotions in all studies [20]. Particularly increased research activity was presented regarding the cognitive functioning and the effect of Covid-19 on it. Regarding people who have dementia or mild cognitive impairment, a decrease in their level of independence and/or memory deterioration was found, while deterioration of wellbeing levels was also observed in the caregivers of these patients [21-24]. One category of research, however, focused on the cognitive characteristics of people with Covid-19. Cognitive deficits such as memory impairment are observed, and the possibility of long-term neurological consequences is investigated [25-27]. The research activity in Greece around the psychological, neurological, and social consequences of covid 19 increased following the global trend [21,22, 24,28-31]. Most of the findings seem to confirm those of the rest of the literature. However, the resilience variable or the combination of this important factor with other variables, such as fear, mood, and cognitive functioning, has not been sufficiently studied in both local (Greek literature) and international literature. The present study aims to point out the relationship between resilience, fear of Covid 19, mood (depression, anxiety, stress), and cognitive functioning in the University of Western Macedonia administrators.

Methods
Study design and background
A cross-sectional and web-based survey was conducted from December 2020 to January 2021, during the Covid-19 pandemic and while the Western Macedonia university's geographic region was under Covid-19 restrictions, including the obligatory university's transition exclusively to synchronous online courses. Due to Covid-19 restrictions, data were collected via an internet survey. Participants were informed about the study via email, including the link to the survey, and were asked to complete the questionnaires online. The study was designed in accordance with national and international research ethics guidelines and was approved by the university's Research Ethics Committee (approval number: 24/2021). Participation in the study was voluntary, with no tangible or intangible reward offered to the participants. To ensure participants' confidentiality, participation in the study was anonymous, and participants had to read and sign an informed consent form before taking part in data collection. The survey took approximately 20 to 30 minutes to complete, and all study data were collected simultaneously. First, participants were asked a series of questions concerning Covid-19. Namely, whether they adopt safety and checking behaviors (e.g., I clean/disinfect the objects that I use, I take care of my personal hygiene, I use personal protective equipment, I check myself for COVID-19, I have restricted physical contact with other people), the sources of information they trust as well as their trust in science and research related with the new coronavirus (e.g., I always trusted science, I trust Covid-19 vaccine research, I feel confident about the Covid-19 vaccine and I will get vaccinated as soon as it is available, I listen to the doctors' recommendations on battling Covid-19) as well as the level of trust in 12 possible sources of information (e.g., WHO, the National Public Health Organization, other experts, the government, friends and family, social media). Then, to assess the relationship between resilience, depression, anxiety and stress, fear of Covid-19 and cognitive functioning, they were asked to complete the Connor-Davidson resilience scale [34], the DASS 21 [35], the fear of Covid-19 scale [38] as well as CFSS [40]. Participants were free to answer the questionnaires in any order. To identify any demographic-related differences as well as the relationship between fear of Covid-19, negative affect, resilience, cognitive functioning, and trust, a series of between-group comparisons were performed alongside correlations, tests of association, and linear regressions.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
All the administrative staff, both gender and willing to participate have been included in the study. However, the incomplete data and those not willing to participate have been excluded from the study.

Sample size calculation
A universal sampling technique was recruited to collect the data from the administrative staff of the institution. We made repeated reminders once a week. We gathered 88 participants out of 131 registered staff giving a response rate of 67.2%.

Study instruments
Resilience
The Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) was used to measure participants’ resilience. The scale has been adapted and validated for the Greek population [32]. CD-RISC consists of 25 statements related to the characteristics of resilient individuals. Participants were asked to consider the last month and assess the truth of these statements using a 5-point Likert scale (where 1 means not true at all and five means almost always true). Scores range from zero to 100, with higher scores

...
suggested greater resilience. In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha is excellent (α=0.92). In previous studies Cronbach’s alpha ranged between α=0.89 and 0.93 [32, 33]. Apart from the total score, Connor and Davidson (2003) [34] report five subscales: personal competence, high standards and tenacity (CD1), trust in one’s instincts, tolerance of negative affect and strengthening effects of Stress (CD2), positive acceptance of change, and secure relationships (CD3), control (CD4) and spiritual influences (CD5). In the present study, Cronbach’s alphas ranged from acceptable to excellent (for CD1 α= 0.80, for CD2 α= 0.85, for CD3 α= 0.80, for CD4 α= 0.65 and for CD5 α= 0.74).

Depression, Anxiety, and Stress.

DASS 21, the short version of DASS 42 [35], was used to assess the level of participants’ depression, anxiety, and stress. DASS 21 contains 21 statements and is designed to measure symptoms common in depression and anxiety. By using a 4-point Likert scale (where zero means “never” and 4 means “almost always”), participants are called to consider the last week to and assess the presence or absence of symptoms during that time. The scale consists of three subscales: Depression (e.g., I find it difficult to concentrate), anxiety (e.g., I was worried about situations in which I might panic and make a fool of myself), and stress (e.g., I found it difficult to relax). In previous studies in the general population, Cronbach’s alpha for the three subscales ranged between 0.82 and 0.90 [36,35]. Cronbach's alpha was 0.94 for depression, 0.95 for anxiety, and 0.94 for stress in the present study.

Fear of Covid-19

The fear of Covid-19 scale (FCV-19S) [38] was used to assess possible negative feelings related to the Covid-19 pandemic. The scale has been adapted and validated for the Greek population [37]. The Greek version of the scale consists of 7 statements, and participants are asked to assess the level of their fear towards Covid-19 by using a 5-point Likert scale (where one means "totally disagree" and 5 means “totally agree”). The scores range from seven to 35, with higher scores indicating greater fear of Covid-19. In previous studies Cronbach's alpha ranged between 0.82 and 0.87 [28, 37-39]. In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha was 0.84.

Cognitive functioning

The Cognitive functioning self-assessment scale (CFSS) [40] was used to assess participants' cognitive functioning. CFSS contains 18 statements designed to assess the participant’s cognitive functioning e.g., “I find it difficult to concentrate”. Participants are asked to consider the last year and assess by using a 5-point Likert scale (where zero means “never” and 5 means “always”) if they think that the statements depict their cognitive functioning. The scores range from 18 to 90, with higher scores indicating lower levels of cognitive functioning. In previous studies, the internal consistency of the scale was found good [41]. In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha was 0.9.

Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 22. Statistical significant was considered at less than 0.05. was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics was recorded as percentage, mean and standard deviation. Bivariate analysis was performed using an independent Sample T-Test, Chi-Square Test, One-way ANOVA, Mann-Whitney U Test, Kruskal-Wallis H Test, Spearman’s Rank-Order Correlations, and Pearson product-moment correlations. In multivariate analysis the Simple Linear Regression was recruited to predict variables.

Results

The study’s sample consisted of 88 members of the university’s administrative staff (69 females). Thirty-one participants were young adults (35.2%, range= 25 to 44 years) and 57 were middle-aged adults (64.8%, range= 45 to 65 years). Regarding their education level, 50 participants (56.8%) held a postgraduate degree, 23 (26.1%) were university graduates, and 15 (17.1%) had completed upper secondary school. As far as it concerns their marital status, 54 (61.3%) were married, 21 (23.9%) were single, 10 (11.4%) were divorced, and 3 (3.4%) lived with a partner. Finally, 83 participants (94.3%) stated that they had not been sick with Covid-19, while 5 (5.7%) had been tested positive for Covid-19 (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>31 (35.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 to 65 years</td>
<td>57 (64.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>69 (78.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>19 (21.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>54 (61.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>21 (23.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>10 (11.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lived with a partner</td>
<td>3 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>Post-graduate degree</td>
<td>50 (56.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University graduates</td>
<td>23 (26.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper secondary school</td>
<td>15 (17.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosed with Covid-19</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83 (94.3%)</td>
<td>5 (5.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age-related differences

An independent sample test and a Mann-Whitney U Test were run to find the differences by age group. Regarding age-related differences, our results suggest statistically significant age-related differences in fear on Covid-19, care of personal hygiene, stress, and trust in government in Covid-related issues. More specifically, middle-aged adults had statistically significantly higher fear of Covid-19 (16.21 ± 5.69) compared to the young adults (14.10 ± 4.011), t (80.165) = -2.027, P = 0.046 as well as more stress compared to the young adults, U=648.50, p = 0.040, with a mean rank of 36.92 for the young adults and 48.62 for the middle-aged adults. Furthermore, a statistically significant association between age and confidence in the government's official updates was found (χ²(3) = 9.476, P = 0.024). The strength of association between age and confidence in the government's official updates is moderate (φc = 0.328).

Finally, there is a statistically significant association between age and care of personal hygiene (χ²(2) = 14.025, P = 0.001). The strength of association between age and care of personal hygiene is moderate (φc = 0.399).
Concerning gender-related differences, Mann-Whitney U Test analysis suggests that women had statistically significantly restricted their physical contact compared to men, U=485.500, \( P = 0.042 \), with a mean rank of 35.55 for men and 46.96 for women. Moreover, a statistically significant association between gender and restriction of physical contact was also found (\( \chi^2(1) = 5.457, P = 0.019 \)). The strength of association between gender and restriction of physical contact is weak (\( \phi_c = 0.249 \)). On the other hand, we found that men trusted statistically significantly more the results of clinical trials of Covid-19 vaccines than women, U=459.500, \( p = 0.039 \), with a mean rank of 54.82 for men 41.66 for women.

The relationship between fear of Covid-19, negative affect, resilience, and cognitive functioning and trust

Spearman's rank-order correlations were run to determine the relationship between fear of Covid-19, negative affect, resilience, and cognitive functioning. More specifically, a weak but statistically significant negative correlation was found between resilience on the one hand and fear of Covid-19 and depression on the other hand (all \( ps < 0.05 \)). Moreover, moderate negative correlations were found between resilience on the one hand and anxiety and stress on the other hand (all \( ps < 0.05 \)). Concerning the fear of Covid-19, results suggest weak but statistically significant correlations with cognitive deficits and anxiety and moderate correlations with depression and stress (all \( ps < 0.05 \)). Furthermore, cognitive deficits positively correlate with depression (moderate), anxiety (weak), and stress (moderate) (all \( ps < 0.05 \)). Finally, results suggest a moderate positive correlation between trust in media (as a source of information) and fear of Covid-19. (Table 2).

The relationship between resilience and cognitive functioning

A series of Pearson product-moment correlations were run to determine the relationship between CD and its subscales with cognitive functioning (see Table 3). There was a moderate, negative correlation between CD and cognitive functioning (e.g., in terms of cognitive deficits), which was statistically significant, \( r = -0.412, n = 87, p < 0.001 \). Furthermore, a simple linear regression was used to predict cognitive functioning from CD. CD explains an amount of the variance in cognitive functioning, \( F (1, 85) = 17.331, p < 0.001, R^2 = 0.169 \), adjusted \( R^2 = 0.160 \). The regression coefficient, \( B = -0.287 \), indicated that an increase of one in CD corresponded, on average, to a decrease in cognitive functioning of 0.287. Moreover, there was a moderate, negative correlation between CD1 and cognitive functioning (e.g., in terms of cognitive deficits), which was statistically significant, \( r = -0.560, n = 83, p < 0.001 \). Furthermore, a simple linear regression was used to predict cognitive functioning from CD1. CD1 explains an amount of the variance in cognitive functioning, \( F (1, 81) = 37.095, p < 0.001, R^2 = 0.314 \), adjusted \( R^2 = 0.306 \). The regression coefficient, \( B = -0.660 \), indicated that an increase of one in CD1 corresponded, on average, to a decrease in cognitive functioning of 0.661.

Discussion

The current cross-sectional study aimed to examine the associations between resilience, fear of Covid-19, mood (depression, anxiety, stress), and cognitive functioning in the administrators of the University of Western Macedonia. At first, the present study found that resilience relates to fear of Covid-19, negative affect, and cognitive functioning according to the predictions that derive from the respective literature. As far as it concerns the perceived cognitive functioning, there was a connection between resilience and cognitive functioning. This means that the better cognitive functioning someone believes she/he has, the more resilient she/he is. There are research studies that have investigated cognitive functioning and the effects of Covid-19. Cognitive deficits such as memory impairment and possible long-term neurological consequences were observed [25-27]. However, to our knowledge, no study relates cognitive deficits during the Covid-19 pandemic with resilience.

The relationship between cognitive functioning, anxiety, and stress showed a connection between perceived cognitive functioning and anxiety and stress. The better cognitive functioning someone believes she/he has, the less anxiety and stress she/he has. These findings are per studies suggesting higher levels of cognitive functioning to be associated with higher levels of resilience in healthy adults [42]. In addition, stress and anxiety caused by significant life events (such as Covid-19) have a documented harmful impact on cognitive processes and functioning [43]. Furthermore, the findings of this study report a significant relationship between Covid-19 related fear and stress. As Nikčević and Spada [44] suggest, the pandemic outbreak has profoundly changed the way we live, increasing pandemic-related distress. Previous research data in a Greek population also present a moderate to severe anxiety impact on most participants [45].
Moreover, other international studies already underscore the moderate to severe levels of stress effects as a reaction to the Covid-19 experience [46,47]. Furthermore, research data show that anxiety levels after lockdown remain markedly higher than before lockdown levels. The consequences of this situation in work and social interactions are yet to be known [48]. In all, the Covid-19 outbreak is expected to cause increased levels of anxiety [49]. Considering that those suffering from Covid-19 related fear may also exhibit increased general stress, health anxiety, and post-traumatic stress [50,51], which might last long after the pandemic, the return to normal functioning might be more difficult for some individuals. Therefore, the early identification of increased levels of pandemic-related stress may lead to early use of stress-reducing interventions to alleviate the psychological impact in the University of Western Macedonia administrators and possibly reduce the likelihood of this a long-term occurrence.

Moreover, there was a statistically significant positive correlation between fear of Covid-19 and depressive symptoms. This finding agrees with other reports showing the increased prevalence rate of depression during the pandemic [52]. Past research data already indicate that the length and uncertainty of a lockdown can contribute to higher rates of depressive symptoms [53]. Therefore, the measures are already taken can explain higher levels of depression during the Covid-19 outbreak as depression is an anticipated reaction to an abrupt worsening of everyday life. As safety behaviors have been linked with higher levels of psychological distress [54], this study also examined the use of two safety behaviors: taking care of personal hygiene and keeping a minimum social distance [45]. Gender seemed to play an important role as women tended to take care of personal hygiene more regularly than men. In addition, women restricted physical contact more than men. These results echo this study’s women’s anticipation of a negative impact of the pandemic on their health or the health of loved ones. The fear of Covid-19 transmission during the pandemic is connected with precautionary measures and self-restraint behaviors to prevent infection. Our findings coincide with previous studies reporting female gender as significantly related to considerable psychological impact due to the pandemic outbreak and increased levels of stress, anxiety, and depression [47,55]. Finally, our results suggest some age-related differences in the psychological impact of the pandemic. More specifically, in our study, middle-aged adults stated that they have higher levels of fear of Covid-19, better care of personal hygiene, higher levels of stress, and more trust in the government in Covid-related issues than young adults. These results are in line with previous research findings in the Greek population showing that younger participants (aged 18-30 years) reported less fear and depressive symptomatology than older age categories, as well as that respondents over the age of 46, were more careful of their personal hygiene than younger respondents [45]. It is well documented that for older adults, Covid-19 is considered a life-threatening disease to a greater extent than in younger age groups. Thus, we assume that fear of contracting Covid-19 and consequently negative effect and compliance with safety restrictions might be greater in older participants than younger age groups.

As several research focused on professional fields, we selected a category of employees that seemed to be particularly affected by the conditions imposed due to Covid-19 (e.g., teleworking, distance learning, support of the University services). In addition, we hope that the combination of these important variables will further illuminate their interactions. The ultimate goal is to plan interventions that will support employees in these challenging conditions. The originality of this study lies in the investigation of important variables in pandemic conditions in a specific group of employees within the university. It is worth noting that there are no similar studies in Western Macedonia, and regarding the administrative staff within the university, there is no corresponding research nationwide. The moderate sample size and the homogeneity of the participants should be considered about the applicability of the results. It should also be noted that the results of this study were grounded on self-report measures subject to social desirability and self-report errors. As the academic scene is rapidly changing due to the COVID-19 pandemic, new skills and increased adaptability are needed by the administrative staff to deal successfully with new emerging challenges while operating under urgent procedures. Thus, more studies are needed by other Greek Universities from different geographical

### Table 2: Descriptive Statistics and Spearman's Rank-Order Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. FCV-19S</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Depression</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Anxiety</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.94**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Stress</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.91**</td>
<td>.93**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CD-RISK</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>92.20</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>.26*</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. CD1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>30.33</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>.26*</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.91**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. CD2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>24.84</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>.27*</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.91**</td>
<td>.78**</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. CD4</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.74**</td>
<td>.64**</td>
<td>.67**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. CFSS</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>40.32</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>.21*</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Trust in the news of the TV channels</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Simple Linear Regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CD-RISK</td>
<td>-0.287</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>-0.412</td>
<td>-4.163</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CD1</td>
<td>-0.961</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>-0.560</td>
<td>-6.091</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CD2</td>
<td>-0.863</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>-0.512</td>
<td>-5.296</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent variable: Cognitive functioning
regions for the results to be compared and, therefore, more generalizable.

Conclusion
The results of this study showed that it is crucial to continue monitoring the psychological reactions during the Covid-19 pandemic to help both healthcare workers emphasize the most vulnerable group of people and policymakers to design evidence-based interventions for this stressful event.

Abbreviation
CD-RISC: Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; CD1: Connor-Davidson 1-personal competence, high standards, and tenacity; CD2: Connor-Davidson 2-trust in one’s instincts, tolerance of negative affect and strengthening effects of stress; CD3: Connor-Davidson 3-positive acceptance of change, and secure relationships; CC4: Connor-Davidson 4-control; CD5: Connor-Davidson 5-spiritual influences; DASS: Depression, Anxiety, and Stress; FCV-19S: Fear of Covid-19. The fear of Covid-19 scales; CFSS: Cognitive functioning self-assessment scale
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