https://doi.org/10.47108/jidhealth.Vol9.Iss1.440
Ali Jadoo SA, et al. Journal of Ideas in Health (2026); 9(1):1390-1392

Journal of Ideas in Health

When technology goes dark: implications of a complete digital shutdown on medical practice and medical education

Saad Ahmed Ali Jadoo[1*], Mustafa Ali Mustafa Al-Samarrai[2], Ahmed Kassid Alwan[3], Anmar Shukur Mahmood[4]

Abstract

Contemporary healthcare delivery is deeply intertwined with digital systems, including electronic health records (EHRs), artificial intelligence (AI)-supported diagnostics, telemedicine, robotic surgery platforms, and automated laboratory technologies. While these systems have enhanced efficiency, safety, and accessibility, they have also introduced structural dependence on interconnected digital infrastructure. A prolonged and comprehensive technological shutdown whether triggered by large-scale cyberattacks, geomagnetic solar disturbances, grid failure, or geopolitical conflict would have far-reaching implications for clinical care, hospital management, surgical practice, and medical education. This paper examines how such a collapse might affect diagnostic accuracy, procedural outcomes, healthcare coordination, and training models. It also proposes preparedness frameworks and recovery strategies aimed at strengthening resilience. Although the immediate impact would likely compromise efficiency and outcomes, healthcare systems that maintain strong foundational clinical competencies and operational redundancy may better withstand digital disruption. Technological advancement should remain aligned with resilience planning to ensure continuity of care under extreme conditions.

Keywords: Digital Health Resilience, Artificial Intelligence, Cybersecurity, Geomagnetic Storm, Healthcare Systems, Medical Education

Correspondence: Saad Ahmed Ali Jadoo (saadalezzi@uodiyala.edu.iq)
[1]Department of Family and community Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Diyala, Iraq

How to cite: Ali Jadoo SA, Al-Samarrai MAM, Alwan AK, Mahmood AS. When technology goes dark: implications of a complete digital shutdown on medical practice and medical education. J Ideas Health. 2026 Fab. 28 ;9(1): 1390-1392
doi: 10.47108/jidhealth.Vol9.Iss1.440

Article Info: (**Narrative Review**)
Received: 16 November 2026
Revised: 23 January 2026
Accepted: 28 February 2026
Published: 28 February 2026

Journal Home page: https://www.jidhealth.com

e ISSN: 2645-9248

Background

Over the past two decades, medicine has undergone rapid digital transformation. Clinical workflows increasingly depend on electronic documentation systems, Artificial intelligence (AI) -assisted image analysis, digital prescribing platforms, robotic surgical interfaces, and cloud-based laboratory reporting. AI algorithms now assist in dermatologic classification, breast cancer screening, and predictive analytics in various specialties [1–3]. The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated reliance on telemedicine, normalizing virtual consultations as a component of routine care [4]. Global policy frameworks, including those from the World Health Organization, actively promote digital health integration as a strategic priority [5]. Yet the same digital connectivity that enables modern efficiency creates systemic fragility. Healthcare institutions have become frequent targets of ransomware and cyberattacks, some of which have resulted in service interruptions and patient safety risks [6,7]. Beyond cyber threats, geomagnetic solar storms capable of disrupting satellite systems and power grids represent a plausible, though infrequent, hazard to digital infrastructure [8,9]. Modern healthcare systems interconnected, automated, and cloud-dependent are particularly vulnerable to such disruptions. While medicine has historically functioned without digital tools, contemporary practitioners have been trained within technology-saturated environments. A sudden and sustained collapse of internet connectivity and AI-supported systems would therefore represent not merely an operational inconvenience, but a structural shock to healthcare delivery and medical training. This article analyzes potential clinical, operational, and educational consequences of a total digital shutdown and outlines strategic measures to enhance system resilience.

Clinical consequences of digital collapse

Disruption of electronic health records

Electronic health records (EHR) have become central to clinical documentation, medication management, laboratory access, and care coordination. Evidence suggests that EHR implementation can improve documentation completeness and medication safety [10]. However, digitization also consolidates information into centralized systems, increasing vulnerability to system-wide failure.

In the absence of functioning EHRs:

- Access to longitudinal patient data would be interrupted.
- Medication histories and allergy alerts could be unavailable.

- Clinical decision support tools would be disabled.
- Inter-institutional data exchange would cease.

Recent ransomware incidents have demonstrated that even temporary digital paralysis forces hospitals to revert to paper-based systems, disrupts elective procedures, and delays care delivery [6]. A prolonged shutdown would significantly affect chronic disease management, particularly for patients requiring continuous monitoring, such as those with diabetes, cardiovascular conditions, or malignancies. Additionally, continuity of care (one of the major advantages of digital records) would be compromised.

Diagnostic Challenges

Modern diagnostic medicine relies extensively on digital imaging platforms, automated laboratory systems, and increasingly, AI-supported interpretation. AI applications have demonstrated performance approaching specialist-level interpretation in certain imaging domains [1,2]. Laboratory automation enhances throughput, reproducibility, and speed [11].

If digital systems were unavailable:

- Imaging storage and retrieval systems; picture archiving and communication systems (PACS) would fail.
- Automated analyzers might operate in limited capacity or require manual processing.
- AI-assisted triage and interpretation would disappear.

Diagnostic turnaround times would likely increase. Clinical decision-making would depend more heavily on bedside assessment and clinician judgment. While such reliance may reinforce core clinical skills, the abrupt withdrawal of technological support could initially increase diagnostic uncertainty, particularly among clinicians accustomed to AI-augmented systems. Furthermore, concerns have been raised that heavy reliance on advanced diagnostics may reduce emphasis on physical examination skills [12]. A digital blackout would expose this potential imbalance.

Surgical and procedural implications

Minimally invasive and robotic-assisted surgeries depend on stable digital interfaces, imaging systems, and software-controlled instrumentation. Robotic surgical platforms, while offering precision and improved ergonomics, are intrinsically dependent on functioning computer systems [13].

In a widespread digital failure:

- Robotic procedures would become non-operational.
- Advanced laparoscopic visualization systems might be compromised.
- Electronic anesthesia monitoring could be limited.
- Instrument tracking and sterilization documentation systems might fail.

Hospitals would likely revert to open surgical approaches. While effective, open surgery is associated with increased tissue trauma, longer hospital stays, and higher postoperative discomfort compared with minimally invasive techniques in many contexts [14]. Thus, procedural outcomes might temporarily regress, with longer recovery times and increased complication rates.

Operational and system-level disruption

Hospital administration and logistics

Healthcare institutions rely heavily on digital platforms for scheduling, supply chain management, pharmacy dispensing, and internal communication. Cyber incidents have already shown how digital incapacitation can lead to appointment cancellations and emergency diversions [6].

Without digital coordination:

- Medication distribution may become error-prone.
- Inventory monitoring may falter.
- Blood bank tracking could be compromised.
- Communication between departments would slow.

Critical care settings, where rapid information exchange is essential, would face increased risk.

Public health surveillance

Modern epidemiological surveillance is largely digital. Real-time dashboards and reporting systems were central to tracking COVID-19 case counts and vaccine distribution [15].

If digital reporting systems were disabled:

- Outbreak detection would rely on slower manual reporting.
- Inter-regional coordination would weaken.
- Data-driven public health interventions would be delayed.

The absence of digital epidemiology could increase transmission rates during infectious outbreaks.

Implications for medical education

Medical education has progressively integrated e-learning, online databases, virtual simulations, and AI-supported learning platforms [16]. These tools expand access to knowledge and allow simulation-based skills training.

A digital shutdown would eliminate:

- Access to online journals and databases.
- Virtual anatomy and simulation modules.
- Remote learning platforms.

Education would revert to printed materials, face-to-face lectures, and apprenticeship-based training. Although this shift could strengthen mentorship and bedside learning, it would also limit access to rapidly evolving medical literature and global collaboration. Additionally, overreliance on AI-based educational tools may risk reduced independent reasoning skills if not balanced appropriately [17].

Geomagnetic and infrastructure threats

Extreme space weather events have the potential to disrupt satellites and electrical grids [8,9]. While infrequent, high-impact geomagnetic storms could damage transformers and communication networks. Modern healthcare infrastructure, tightly coupled with power and digital connectivity, is particularly exposed to such events. Preparedness for low-probability, high-consequence scenarios is a critical aspect of healthcare resilience planning.

Preparedness Strategies

Reinforcing foundational clinical competence

Medical curricula should continue emphasizing:

- Advanced physical examination.
- Clinical reasoning independent of AI.
- Procedural adaptability.

Simulation-based training has been shown to enhance preparedness for crisis scenarios [18].

Redundant documentation systems

Healthcare institutions should maintain:

- Printable patient summaries.
- Paper-based prescription protocols.
- Offline data backups.

Redundancy mitigates systemic vulnerability

Cybersecurity and infrastructure resilience

Strengthening cybersecurity, maintaining offline backup systems, and ensuring generator-supported power continuity are essential components of risk mitigation [5].

Recovery and adaptation following shutdown

If a prolonged shutdown occurs, immediate priorities would include:

- Structured triage focusing on high-acuity patients.
- Rapid retraining in manual workflows.
- Decentralization of care delivery.
- Psychological support for healthcare workers.

Restoration efforts should prioritize essential clinical services and public health reporting systems [19].

Conclusion

A comprehensive digital shutdown would substantially disrupt healthcare delivery and medical education. Diagnostic delays, operational inefficiencies, and procedural limitations would likely emerge. Nevertheless, systems that preserve strong clinical foundations and maintain operational redundancy may adapt more effectively. The central lesson is not technological retreat, but strategic balance. Innovation must proceed alongside resilience planning. The enduring strength of medicine lies not in algorithms or networks, but in the clinical judgment, adaptability, and ethical commitment of healthcare professionals.

Abbreviation

EHRs: Electronic Health Records; AI: Artificial Intelligence; PACS: Picture Archiving and Communication Systems

Declaration

Based on National Guide and Polices to use the AI in scientific research, we declare that the contents, analysis, results and the interpretation were the efforts of researchers, however some of the data presented in this study were originated by AI to manage the ideas, titles and building the general plan of study without interference with the scientific content.

Acknowledgment

None.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

Data will be available by emailing saadakezzi@uodiyala.edu.iq

Authors' contributions

All authors have participated equally in conceptualization, methodology, writing review, and editing, data curation, writing of the original draft and formal analysis. The authors had read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We conducted the research following the declaration of Helsinki. The systematic and narrative review and point of view articles need no ethical approval.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Open Access

Author Details

[1]Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Diyala, Diyala, Iraq. [2]Department of Family and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Anbar University, Anbar, Iraq. [3]College of Dentistry, University of Diyala, Iraq.[4]Faculty of Computer Engineering, Altinbas University, Istanbul, Turkiye.

References

1. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA, et al. Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature. 2017;542(7639):115–8. doi:10.1038/nature21056
2. McKinney SM, Sieniek M, Godbole V, et al. international evaluation of an AI system for breast cancer screening. Nature. 2020;577:89–94. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1799-6
3. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nat Med. 2019;25:44–56. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7
4. Mann DM, Chen J, Chunara R, et al. COVID-19 transforms health care through telemedicine. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2020;27(7):1132–5. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa072
5. World Health Organization. Global strategy on digital health 2020–2025. Geneva: WHO; 2021.
6. Ranschaert ER, Morozov S, Algra PR. Artificial intelligence in medical imaging: opportunities, applications and risks. Eur Radiol. 2021;31:3573–82.
7. Healthcare and Public Health Sector Coordinating Council. Health industry cybersecurity practices. 2023.
8. Eastwood JP, Biffis E, Hapgood MA, et al. The economic impact of space weather. Risk Anal. 2017;37(2):206–18. doi:10.1111/risa.12765
9. Oughton EJ, Skelton A, Horne RB, et al. Quantifying the daily economic impact of extreme space weather. Space Weather. 2017;15:65–83. doi:10.1002/2016SW001491
10. Campanella P, Lovato E, Marone C, et al. The impact of electronic health records on healthcare quality. Eur J Public Health. 2016;26(1):60–4.
11. Lippi G, Da Rin G. Advantages and limitations of total laboratory automation. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2019;57(6):802–11.
12. Verghese A, Charlton B, Kassirer JP, Ramsey M. Inadequacies of physical examination as a cause of medical errors. Am J Med. 2015;128(12):1322–4.
13. Lanfranco AR, Castellanos AE, Desai JP, Meyers WC. Robotic surgery: a current perspective. Ann Surg. 2004;239(1):14–21.
14. Keus F, de Jong JA, Gooszen HG, et al. Laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;(4):CD006231.
15. Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(5):533–4.
16. Ellaway RH, Masters K. AMEE Guide 32: e-Learning in medical education. Med Teach. 2008;30(5):455–73.
17. Cabitza F, Rasoini R, Gensini GF. Unintended consequences of machine learning in medicine. JAMA. 2017;318(6):517–8.
18. McGaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Cohen ER, et al. Does simulation-based medical education improve patient outcomes? Acad Med. 2011;86(6):706–11.
19. Ali Jadoo SA, Mahmood A, Alsamurai M. Artificial intelligence in the Iraqi health system: challenges, opportunities, and pathways towards universal health coverage J Ideas Health. 2025 Aug. 31 ;8(4):1309-1312. doi: 10.47108/jidhealth.Vol8.Iss4.42