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Abstract
Background: In the context of flattening the curve of the spread of coronavirus in Nigeria, some factors were considered. This study aimed to investigate the relationship between some of the considered factors and physical activity and investigate sex differences on physical activity during the coronavirus pandemic in Nigeria.

Methods: The study was cross-sectional using an online survey and recruited participants from Nigeria’s southwest geopolitical zone through the snowball sampling technique. Descriptive statistics (such as percentages), correlation analyses, and t-test of independent measures were used to analyze the data collected. The data collection was conducted from April to June 2020.

Results: The participants' age ranges from 18 to 73 years, with a mean age of 42.9 years, a median of 43 years, and a standard deviation of 5.41. The result showed a significant difference between males and females (\(x^2 = 1001.21; SD=1371.83\)) on moderate physical activity during the pandemic in Nigeria. However, there was no significant relationship between contextual factors; perception of the spread \(r (467) =0.028; P>0.05\), fear of contracting COVID-19 \(r (467) =-0.041; P>0.05\), stay at home measures \(r (467) =-0.030; P>0.05\), sensitizing others about COVID-19 \(r (467) =-0.044; P>0.05\), compliance with safety rules and regulations \(r (467) =0.052; P>0.05\) and overall physical activity.

Conclusion: The study concluded that the relationship between contextual variables and physical activity among Nigerian people during the COVID-19 pandemic is not significant. Secondly, males and females are not different on vigorous and walking physical activity levels.
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Background
The word pandemic is used to describe a disease that can affect people all over the world. Such disease should be novel, have the ability to spread in an unanticipated manner across boundaries of the world, severe enough to cause high mortality, have high rate of transmission, and must be communicable [1]. Humankind has at different times experienced diseases with the highlighted characteristics; however, the one the whole world is grappling with now is a coronavirus, also known as COVID-19. Coronavirus is a novel single strand of ribonucleic acid (RNA) viruses caused by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-COV-2) with pneumonia-like symptoms. With the index case in Wuhan China by the end of the year 2019, the number of cases worldwide is in astronomical proportion. Like 2003 SARS, coronavirus has affinity for respiratory organs, and it is more contagious because there is no immunity against the virus yet [2]. As the whole world is feeling the impacts of this disease, Africa could be having a triple tragic impact of the pandemic on her health, economy, and political systems [3].

In the context of stemming the spread of the virus, different countries of the world prescribed various public health measures in order to flatten the curve of the spread of the virus. Some of these contextual measures in Nigeria included full or partial national lockdowns of some cities, travel bans, social distancing, constant hand washing with alcohol-based sanitizers, coughing into the elbow, compulsory wearing of face masks in public places, washing of hands with soap, and water among other approaches. Specifically, lockdowns, travel restrictions, and social distancing strategies in some Nigerian states during the early periods of the pandemic were total, while others relaxed the measures for people to go out after a while
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These prescriptions have been revealed to have an inadvertent iatrogenic effect on people as they could likely lead to a lower level of engagement in any level of physical activity [5-7]. In turn, they could affect immunity, making it challenging to suppress some lifestyle illnesses such as diabetes, high blood pressure, which could be risk factors of or worsen the condition of COVID-19 illness [8]. Ordinarily, physical activity levels reflect the intensity of energy an individual burns through either engaging in walking, doing moderate physical activity, or engaging in vigorous physical activity. Interestingly, engaging in some physical activity parameters (walking, transportation to work, etc.) has an additive effect on physical activity [9].

The contextual variables containing the spread of COVID-19 considered in this study are public health measures, perception of the spread of COVID-19, fear of COVID-19, stay at home order, sensitizing others, and compliance measures. All these measures are believed to aid the control of the spread of coronavirus [10]. Expectedly, these measures are instituted to target minimal movements of humans, suggesting that the fewer people move around, the less the spread of the disease. To a large extent, people in Low and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs) such as Nigerians are physically active mainly through transportations to their jobs and other places [11]. Unfortunately, the contextual control measures of COVID-19 make this impossible. As it was reported the fear of contracting COVID-19 was very high among those experiencing lockdowns [12,13] explained that exercising may induce the fear of contracting the virus, thereby causing physical inactivity. While assertion may be logical [13], there are places where people still engage in outdoor physical activity [14]. We hypothesized that contextual factors would have a significant relationship with physical activity during the lockdown period resulting from Nigeria's coronavirus pandemic.

Less has been done as regards gender issues during COVID-19 [15]. This is shown in the number of commentaries that have been written about the effects of measures to contain the pandemic on physical activity, with little attention given to differences among males and females on physical activity levels. We found few studies which looked into gendered implications of lockdowns on physical activity during COVID-19 among adolescents in Croatia [16, 17] and Sicilian adults [18]. Sekulic et al. [17] found out that girls engaged more in overall physical activity than boys. The lockdown measures nearly made boys engage less in vigorous physical activities which typically found in gyms and clubs; however, such places are banned during the pandemic. Other studies conducted by Karuc et al. [16] and Giustino et al. [18] revealed that males tended to be more involved in physical activity than females. From the foregoing, we hypothesized that there would be a significant difference between males and females on each physical activity level during the lockdown measures occasioned by covid-19 in Nigeria.

This study would aid in providing sex-disaggregated evidence on physical activity level during the COVID-19 pandemic. It would also help in policy directions on tailor-made public health information on physical activity during the pandemic. This study would also fill the data gap regarding physical activity during the pandemic in Nigeria. Moreover, we could not find any previous study looking into this construct in Nigeria.

**Methods**

Online responses are elicited from a set of people who experienced lockdown caused by the COVID-19 pandemic in Southwest Nigeria. Southwest Nigeria is one of the leading geopolitical zones mostly ravaged by the pandemic in the country. The questionnaires were distributed via popular social media in Nigeria through the online cross-sectional survey, including WhatsApp media tool and Facebook. A total of 565 responses were received from the respondents in the study area. Out of the total responses received, 467 were found useful, representing 82.65% of the participants. The participants' responses were elicited some weeks after the National and the southwest state governments' initial lockdown, which started March 30th, 2020. The chosen area of study was then the epicenter of the coronavirus pandemic in the country. Lagos, a state in Nigeria's study region and former capital, currently tops the chart with 59.97% of confirmed cases as of April 19th, 2020, and even now. Information on health-related physical activities was collected in addition to perception and reactions to COVID-19 pandemic control strategies advocated by both national and state governments. The survey covered information on walking, moderate and vigorous physical activity levels carried out by the respondents, as well as time spent (number of minutes per day) on each activity in the past 7-days of stay at home. Data collection was conducted between April to June 2020.

The Snowball sampling technique was used to collect the data. The participants received the questionnaire as a google form on their WhatsApp groups or Facebook messenger groups and were encouraged to send it to other groups they belonged to, thereby recruiting participants who share some characteristics with them. Questions on the survey were guided by International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) and scoring protocol. This study used IPAQ short form, which has been previously used in Nigeria [19, 20]. The participants' level of activities (walking, moderate and vigorous) was assessed in the number of hours and minutes they engaged in the three activities per day and then in the last seven days. The scoring protocol for IPAQ can be found in (www.ipaq.ki.se).

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics (such as percentages), correlation analyses, and t-test of independent measures were used to analyze the data collected.

**Results**

The participants' age ranges from 18 to 73 years. The overall mean age of respondents is 42.82 ± 9.60 years. The percentage age distribution between 30 and 50 years is 65.1%; 32.2% are between 31 and 40 years, while 32.9% are between 41 and 50. A lower percentage (24.2%) of respondents lies between 51 and 60 years. About 10.1% of the sample is less than 30 years, while 0.7% is older than 60 years. The sample is dominated mainly by the male (72.6%) and 27.4% of the female. The majority (75.4%) were married, with single constituting 23.1 percent of the sample (Table 1).

Involvement in different types of physical activity varies among the respondents. A higher percentage (69.6%) of the sample did not participate in vigorous physical activity such as heavy lifting, aerobics, and fast bicycling, while 30.4% reported...
their involvement in vigorous physical activity. On the contrary, a larger percentage (61.2%) of respondents reported moderate physical activity, while 38.8% did not indicate their involvement in a moderate activity such as playing table tennis, bicycling at a regular pace, and carrying light loads.

Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics and involvement in vigorous and moderate physical activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Deviation</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed/Widower</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous activity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>69.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate activity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From table 2 above, it was shown that participants’ perception of the spread of COVID-19 [r (467) = -0.215; P<0.01] significantly has a negative relationship with fear of COVID-19. This simply means that the lower the perception of the spread of COVID-19, the more the fear of contracting COVID-19. Also, participants’ perceptions of COVID-19 [r (467) =0.121; P<0.01] significantly have a positive relationship with staying at home. This means that the more the participants positively perceive COVID-19, the more they intend to stay at home. Less participation in sensitization to others about COVID-19 has a negative correlation with perceptions of the spread of COVID-19 [r (467) =-0.122; P<0.01]. However, stay at home measures [r (467) =0.160; P<0.01] significantly have a positive relationship with sensitization about COVID-19 to others; this means that the more the participants obey the restriction order to stay at home, the more they get to sensitize others about COVID-19.

Furthermore, the more participants’ fear of contracting COVID-19 [r (467) =0.104; P<0.01], the more their level of compliance to safety rules. This suggests that fear of contracting COVID-19 significantly has a positive relationship with compliance to COVID-19 safety rules and regulation. However, stay at home order [r (467) = -0.128; P<0.01] significantly has a negative correlation with compliance with safety rules and regulation. That is, the more people stay at home, the less they comply with COVID-19 safety rules and regulations. More so, participation in sensitizing others about COVID-19 [r (467) =-0.233; P<0.01] is negatively associated with compliance with safety rules. The more participants sensitize the public and relatives about COVID-19, the less their compliance with safety rules. However, perception of the spread [r (467) =0.028; P>0.05], fear of contracting COVID-19 [r (467) =-0.041; P>0.05], stay at home measures [r (467) =-0.030; P>0.05], sensitizing others about covid-19 [r (467) =-0.044; P>0.05], compliance with safety rules and regulations [r (467) =0.052; P>0.05] did not have any significant relationship with participants’ overall Physical Activity. This means that the hypothesis is not accepted.

The results in Table 3 showed that sex (t (465) = 0.62, P>0.05) has no significant difference on vigorous physical activity, as male participants (x̄ = 1744.25; SD=3063.75) are not significantly different from the female participants (x̄ = 1548.75; SD=2846.99).

However, sex (t (465) =-1.84, P<0.05) is significantly different on moderate physical activity. Specifically, female participants (x̄ = 1001.21; SD=1371.83) are significantly different from the male participants (x̄ = 768.14; SD=1153.28). This simply implies that female participants were significantly involved in moderate physical activity than male participants. Moreover, sex (t (465) = 0.39, P>0.05) has no significant difference on walking physical activity, as male participants (x̄ = 307.18; SD=605.76) did not significantly different from the female participants (x̄ = 218.35; SD=671.62).

Discussion
Plato (427-347) asserted that lack of activity destroys human beings’ good condition, while movement and methodical physical exercise save it and preserve it. This unarguably pinpoints physical activity's relevance in maintaining good well-being right from a very long time ago. Our study investigated this assertion in the context of lockdown and similar orders by the Nigerian government occasioned by coronavirus disease. Our study provides insight into the physical activity levels of individuals during the period of lockdown. The study also provides sex differences results of different physical activity levels during the coronavirus pandemic in Nigeria.

Projections from other studies were that coronavirus containment measures would significantly reduce participation in physical activity [5,7]. However, our study’s result contradicted this assertion. This probably points to differences in the characteristics of our participants as different from other studies. We measured our participants based on their physical activity some weeks after the lockdown measures were instituted without recourse to their physical activity levels before lockdown. Simultaneously, others [16,17] investigated the pre-lockdown physical activity to have a baseline score. It was shown from our results that more participants engage in moderate physical activity than vigorous physical activity.

Furthermore, based on the cumulative nature [9] of physical activity, it could mean that our participants engage in domestic physical activities that accumulate over time to be moderate physical activity levels rather than involving in structured vigorous-intensity physical activity. Likewise, as pointed out by [4], people still move around despite the public health efforts to contain the pandemic. Future studies can investigate the influence of socio-cultural factors on physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria.
There was no sex difference in physical activity engagement in our study’s results, especially as we showed that both males and females are similar in walking and vigorous physical activity levels. Our findings disagree with the results from Croatia [17] and Sicilia [18]. Another finding from our study revealed that females engage more in moderate physical activity than males. This is a surprising result as an earlier study [16] revealed that females are similar in walking and vigorous physical activity, while there was more female participation in moderate physical activity than males during the experience of the COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria. The self-report questionnaires used in this study could inadvertently contribute to limitation as participants might be biased in answering the questionnaires’ items. Another limitation that other researchers should be aware of is that of generalizability. The study’s participants are limited to Nigeria’s southwest geopolitical zone, and the result should be interpreted in that context.

**Conclusion**

From our results, we can conclude that the relationship between contextual variables and physical activity among Nigerians during the COVID-19 pandemic is insignificant. Secondly, males and females are not different on vigorous and walking physical activity levels, while there was more female participation in moderate physical activity than males during COVID-19 lockdown measures.
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